arXiv:1701.01191v1 [hep-ph] 5 Jan 2017

Heavy quarks within electroweak multiplet

J. Besprosvany and R. Romero

Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México,
Apartado Postal 20-364, Ciudad de México 01000, México

Abstract

Standard-model fields and their associated electroweak Lagrangian are equivalently expressed in a shared spin basis. The scalar-vector terms are written with scalar-operator components acting on quark-doublet elements, and shown to be parametrization-invariant. Such terms, and the t- and b-quark Yukawa terms are linked by the identification of the common mass-generating Higgs operating upon the other fields, after acquiring a vacuum expectation value v. Thus, the customary vector masses are related to the fermions', fixing the t-quark mass m_t with the relation $m_t^2+m_b^2=v^2/2$, either for maximal hierarchy, or given the b-quark mass m_b, implying $m_t \simeq 173.9$ GeV, for $v = 246$ GeV. An interpretation follows that electroweak bosons and heavy quarks belong in a multiplet.

Keywords: Top quark, mass, multiplet, Lagrangian, spin, electroweak

1 Introduction

A basis or representation choice can be useful, even essential, in the description of a system and its dynamics. It may reveal otherwise-hidden connections between its components, and provide a simpler framework to understand physical properties. Such a basis may describe effective degrees of freedom[1] accounting for collective interactions, allowing for a simpler near free-particle description, in a first approximation. For example, nucleon and associated boson interactive configurations give a tractable account of nuclear-motion modes[2]. Within condensed matter and low-temperature superconducting systems, a residual attractive interaction related to phonons forms Cooper pairs[3], which propagate freely, and lead to frictionless currents. In an application of this theory to quantum field theory and elementary particles[4], a four-fermion interaction produces fermion and composite-boson masses, linking their values. The quark model[5] conceives mesons and baryons in terms of constituent (dressed) quarks.

The standard model (SM) predicts mass values for the W and Z bosons[6] that carry the short-range electroweak interaction, in terms of electroweak parameters, through the Higgs mechanism[7, 8]. However, fermion masses have remained arbitrary, as they arise from independent Lagrangian terms. The similar order of magnitude of the measured masses[9] of the W, Z, the recently discovered scalar excitation, associated with the Higgs[10], and the top quark (with the bottom quark's the next highest), suggests connections among them, and thus, a common energy scale. Moreover, fermions occupy the spin-1/2 and fundamental representations of the Lorentz and scalar groups, respectively, as vector bosons belong to the adjoint representation of each group,[1,2] which implies bosons can be constructed in terms of fermions, and hints at composite structures and/or a common origin.

A previously proposed SM extension[11], based on a shared extended spin space, reproduces these SM-field features: SM fields are replicated, as the matrix structure accommodates a fundamental-adjoint representation composite structure. This space contains a (3+1)-dimensional subspace and one beyond 3+1, linked, respectively, to Lorentz and scalar degrees of freedom[12]. At each dimension, a finite number of Lorentz-invariant partitions are generated with specific symmetries and representations, reproducing particular SM features, where the cases with dimension 5+1[13], 7+1[14], and 9+1[15] were studied.

Complementarily to focusing on such an extension, in this paper, SM heavy-fermion (F), vector (V), and scalar (S) fields are equivalently reexpressed in terms of the obtained common basis[11] for both Lorentz and electroweak degrees of freedom,

[1] As the Higgs occupies the $\mathrm{SU}_L(2)$ fundamental representation.

[2] For the Abelian hypercharge group $\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$, gauge invariance ensures boson-fermion quantum-number additivity.

in turn, recasting their Lagrangian components $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{FV} + \mathcal{L}_{SV} + \mathcal{L}_{SF}$, where the aim is to derive SM connections: the identification of the scalar operator within the $\mathcal{L}_{SF}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{SV}$ vertices links univocally its defining parameters, and hence the fields' mass values. Indeed, such universal electroweakly-invariant terms lead, under the Higgs mechanism, to a scalar whose lowest-energy condensate state pervades space, and generates particle masses. Within the spin basis, this mechanism is similarly represented; as these fields shape vectors on a matrix space with a single associated scalar operator acting upon the others, their mass-generation property relates their coefficients. We work in the classical framework afforded by the Lagrangian, and at tree-level, but also rely on a quantum-mechanical interpretation.

The paper is organized thus: Section 2 chooses one among two vector bases within $\mathcal{L}_{FV}$. Section 3 deals with the $\mathcal{L}_{SV}$ equivalence for combinations of the scalars and their conjugates, with universal couplings to vectors, shown explicitly in Supplement 2; similarly for their spin-base representation, in which these two scalar components induce a projection onto a flavor doublet. A comparison follows to Yukawa terms within $\mathcal{L}_{SF}$, with a link to t and b masses, done in Section 4. In Section 5, we draw conclusions. In the Supplements, we also show the Lagrangian equivalence for all terms in the two bases, with explicit expressions.

2 Fermion-vector Lagrangian: chiral basis in spin space

Concentrating on the heaviest fermions, the SM two-quark[3] electroweak interaction Lagrangian[6] is[4]

$$\mathcal{L}_{FV} = \bar{\mathbf{q}}_L(x)[i\partial_\mu + \frac{1}{2}g\tau^a W^a_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{6}g' B_\mu(x)]\gamma^\mu \mathbf{q}_L(x) +$$

$$\bar{t}_R(x)[i\partial_\mu + \frac{2}{3}g' B_\mu(x)]\gamma^\mu t_R(x) + \bar{b}_R(x)[i\partial_\mu - \frac{1}{3}g' B_\mu(x)]\gamma^\mu b_R(x), \quad (1)$$

where the spin-1/2 fields consist of $\mathbf{q}_L(x) = \begin{pmatrix} t_L(x) \\ b_L(x) \end{pmatrix}$, a left-handed hypercharge $Y = 1/3$ $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$-doublet, and $t_R(x)$, $b_R(x)$, right-handed $Y = 4/3, -2/3$ singlets, respectively; each term contains two polarizations as, e. g., $t_L(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi^1_{tL}(x) \\ \psi^2_{tL}(x) \end{pmatrix}$; $\psi^\alpha_{qh}(x)$ are wave functions[5] for quarks $q = t, b$, with spin components $\alpha = 1, 2$, and

[3] A single generation is used, and CKM mixing is neglected; Eq. 1 describes the electroweak interaction for one quark color, and a sum is assumed over each such term.

[4] We use units with $\hbar = c = 1$, and metric $g_{\mu\nu} = (1, -1, -1, -1)$ throughout.

[5] For simplicity, spin and scalar representations are assumed that give the states' form.

chirality $h = L, R$; $W^a_\mu(x)$, $a = 1, 2, 3$, and $B_\mu(x)$, are associated gauge-group weak and hypercharge vector bosons, with coupling constants, g, g', respectively; τ^a are the Pauli matrices representing the $SU(2)_L$ generators.

An extended (7+1)-dimensional [d] Clifford algebra comprises a sufficiently large space to describe heavy SM particles[12, 14], with the 4-d Lorentz symmetry maintained, and spin-component generators $\frac{3}{2}B\sigma_{\mu\nu}$, where $\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]$, and $\mu, \nu = 0, ..., 3$; additional scalar generators use $\gamma_5, ..., \gamma_8$, producing the baryon-number operator $B = \frac{1}{6}(1 - i\gamma_5\gamma_6)$, which conforms a spin-space projection partition, and gives quarks $1/3$ ($-1/3$ for antiparticles,) and bosons 0.

In general, a Hermitian operator Op within this space characterizes a state Ψ with the eigenvalue rule $[Op, \Psi] = \lambda\Psi$, for real λ, and, under a unitary transformation, $\Psi \to U\Psi U^\dagger$, given the ket-bra matrix structure[12], with the kets interpreted as conjugate states. This defition is also consistent with the action of a derivative operator on a Hilbert space: $[\overrightarrow{\partial}, \Psi] = [-\overleftarrow{\partial}, \Psi] = [\Psi, \overleftarrow{\partial}]$. The direct product $\mathrm{tr}\Psi^\dagger_b\Psi_a$ is consistent associativity-wise with the operator rule, as $\mathrm{tr}[Op, \Psi_b]^\dagger\Psi_a = \mathrm{tr}\Psi^\dagger_b[Op^\dagger, \Psi_a]$. Fields are usually assumed to exist on a Cartesian basis; for example, a vector field has components $A_\mu(x) = g_\mu{}^\nu A_\nu(x)$; alternatively, in the spin basis, they are expressed as $A_\mu(x)\gamma_0\gamma^\mu$.

Other scalar-symmetry generators include the hypercharge $Y_o = \frac{1}{6}(1 - i\gamma_5\gamma_6)[1 + i\frac{3}{2}(1 + \tilde{\gamma}_5)\gamma_7\gamma_8]$, with $\tilde{\gamma}_5 = -i\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$, the weak $SU(2)_L$ terms

$$I^1 = \frac{i}{8}(1 - \tilde{\gamma}_5)(1 - i\gamma_5\gamma_6)\gamma^7,$$

$$I^2 = \frac{i}{8}(1 - \tilde{\gamma}_5)(1 - i\gamma_5\gamma_6)\gamma^8, \tag{2}$$

$$I^3 = \frac{i}{8}(1 - \tilde{\gamma}_5)(1 - i\gamma_5\gamma_6)\gamma_7\gamma_8,$$

and flavor generators[14]; as required, $[I^i, I^j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}I^k$, $[I^i, Y_o] = [B, Y_o] = [B, I^i] = [3B\sigma_{\mu\nu}, Y_o] = [3B\sigma_{\mu\nu}, I^i] = 0$.

The (7+1)-d space allows for a description of quark fields $\mathbf{\Psi}_{qL}(x) = \sum_\alpha \psi^\alpha_{tL}(x)T^\alpha_L + \psi^\alpha_{bL}(x)B^\alpha_L$, $\Psi_{tR}(x) = \sum_\alpha \psi^\alpha_{tR}(x)T^\alpha_R$, $\Psi_{bR}(x) = \sum_\alpha \psi^\alpha_{bR}(x)B^\alpha_R$, with hypercharges $1/3$, $4/3$, $-2/3$, respectively, and spinor components exemplified in Table 1, as the complete list is found in Ref. [14]; the quantum numbers λ are obtained from the operator structure $[Op, \Psi] = \lambda\Psi$ for the weak component I^3, hypercharge Y_o (or charge $Q = I^3 + \frac{1}{2}Y_o$,) and spin-polarization $\frac{3i}{2}B\gamma^1\gamma^2$ operators.

The SM Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{FV}$ in Eq. 1 can be equivalently written[6] in this basis: as

[6]The commutator is omitted as the operator acts trivially on one side.

derived in Ref. [12], and examined in Ref. [16]

$$\mathcal{L}_{FV} = \mathrm{tr}\{\mathbf{\Psi}^\dagger_{qL}(x)[i\partial_\mu + gI^a W^a_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2}g' Y_o B_\mu(x)]\gamma^0\gamma^\mu \mathbf{\Psi}_{qL}(x) +$$

$$\Psi^\dagger_{tR}(x)[i\partial_\mu + \frac{1}{2}g' Y_o B_\mu(x)]\gamma^0\gamma^\mu \Psi_{tR}(x) + \Psi^\dagger_{bR}(x)[i\partial_\mu + \frac{1}{2}g' Y_o B_\mu(x)]\gamma^0\gamma^\mu \Psi_{bR}(x)\}P_f, \quad (3)$$

while gauge and Lorentz symmetries can be checked with the above transformation rule, or given the equivalence to the traditional formulation. A projection operator P_f that connects the two expressions[16] can be omitted by finding phases for $\mathbf{\Psi}$, which translates into finding an adequate γ_μ basis. The trace coefficient is usually 1, as the field normalization factor accounts for reducible representations. A complete proof of the equivalence is given in Supplement 1.

hypercharge 1/3 left-handed doublet	I^3	Q	$\frac{3i}{2}B\gamma^1\gamma^2$
$\begin{pmatrix} T^1_L \\ B^1_L \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{16}(1-\tilde{\gamma}_5)(\gamma^5 - i\gamma^6)(\gamma^7 + i\gamma^8)(\gamma^0 + \gamma^3) \\ \frac{1}{16}(1-\tilde{\gamma}_5)(\gamma^5 - i\gamma^6)(1 - i\gamma^7\gamma^8)(\gamma^0 + \gamma^3) \end{pmatrix}$	$1/2$ $-1/2$	$2/3$ $-1/3$	$1/2$ $1/2$

(a)

$I_3 = 0$ right-handed singlet	Y	Q	$\frac{3i}{2}B\gamma^1\gamma^2$
$T^1_R = \frac{1}{16}(1+\tilde{\gamma}_5)(\gamma^5 - i\gamma^6)(\gamma^7 + i\gamma^8)\gamma^0(\gamma^0 + \gamma^3)$	$4/3$	$2/3$	$1/2$
$B^1_R = \frac{1}{16}(1+\tilde{\gamma}_5)(\gamma^5 - i\gamma^6)(1 - i\gamma^7\gamma^8)\gamma^0(\gamma^0 + \gamma^3)$	$-2/3$	$-1/3$	$1/2$

(b)

Table 1: (a) Quantum numbers of massless left-handed quark weak isospin doublet, and (b) right-handed singlets, with momentum along $\pm\hat{\mathbf{z}}$. The spin component along $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$, $i\frac{3}{2}B\gamma^1\gamma^2$, is used.

The W-fermion vertex in $\mathcal{L}_{FV}$, Eq. 3, contains the matrix element $\langle F'|W^i_{o\mu}|F\rangle$, where the W contribution

$$W^i_{o\mu} = g\gamma_0\gamma_\mu I^i \quad (4)$$

describes the $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$ inherently chiral action on fermion states $|F\rangle, |F'\rangle$, as it carries the projection $L_5 = \frac{1}{2}(1-\tilde{\gamma}_5)$, predicted by the spin basis[14]; it is thus the natural choice. For example, this property is absent for $W'^{\,i}_{o\mu} = g\gamma_0\gamma_\mu I'^{\,i}$, where $I'^{\,i}$ are the $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$ generators without L_5; although an equivalent interaction term results within this space, it requires the inclusion of L_5 within the vertex; worse, $[Y_{op}, I'^{\,1,2}] \neq 0$.

3 Scalar-vector Lagrangian: extended charge-conjugate symmetry

In the SM, the Higgs particle is present[6] in the $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L{\times}\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$ gauge-invariant interacting Lagrangian-density component $\mathcal{L}_{SV} = \mathbf{H}^\dagger(x)\mathbf{F}^\dagger(x)\mathbf{F}(x)\mathbf{H}(x)$, with $\mathbf{F}_\mu(x) = i\partial_\mu + \frac{1}{2}g\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\mathbf{W}\text{-}(x) + \frac{1}{2}g'B_\mu(x)$, $\mathbf{W}\text{-}(x) = (W^1_\mu(x), W^2_\mu(x), W^3_\mu(x))$, and the $Y = 1$ complex-doublet scalar $\mathbf{H}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}\eta_1(x)+i\eta_2(x)\\ \eta_3(x)+i\eta_4(x)\end{pmatrix}$, composed of two charged (upper), and two neutral (lower) fields. $\mathcal{L}_{SV}$ can be equivalently written (with $B_\mu(x)\to -B_\mu(x)$) in terms of the orthogonal $Y=-1$ combination $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}(x) = i\sigma_2\mathbf{H}^*(x)$, which uses an antiunitary transformation $\mathcal{C}$ expressing charge-conjugation invariance (in addition to the CP symmetry in the electroweak sector, and approximate $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L{\times}\mathrm{SU}(2)_R$ symmetry[17]; a Hilbert space is assumed;) this is also a consequence of the SU(2) property that the conjugate representation is obtained from a similarity transformation, which ensures independence of the doublet choice. Supplement 2 shows that $\mathcal{L}_{SV} = \mathrm{tr}[\mathbf{F'}_\mu\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{\chi_t\chi_b}(x)]^\dagger\mathbf{F'}^\mu\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{\chi_t\chi_b}(x)$, where $\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{\chi_t,\chi_b}(x) = (\chi_t\mathbf{H}(x), \chi_b\tilde{\mathbf{H}}(x))$ is a 4×4 matrix, χ_t, χ_b are complex, and $|\chi_t|^2{+}|\chi_b|^2{=}\,1$, with $\mathbf{F'}_\mu\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{\chi_t\chi_b}(x) = (i\partial_\mu + \frac{1}{2}g\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\mathbf{W}\text{-}(x))\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{\chi_t\chi_b}(x){+}g'\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{\chi_t\chi_b}(x)B_\mu(x)\tau_3$, which is diagonal in $\mathbf{H}(x)$, $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}(x)$, and hence does not mix them. Moreover $\mathcal{L}_{SV}$ is a sum of weighted positive-definite terms, meaning only the combination $|\chi_t|^2{+}|\chi_b|^2$ results. This generalizes the expression[18, 19] for $\mathcal{L}_{SV}$ in terms of $\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}(x)$. With the U(1) overall phase, a three-parameter subspace of the norm-conserving constraint $|\chi_t|^2{+}|\chi_b|^2{=}\,1$ is generated. We associate this isometry with the $\mathcal{L}_{SV}$ invariance under $\mathcal{C}$: $\sigma_2\mathcal{K}\mathbf{F'}_\mu\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{\chi_t\chi_b}(x)\sigma_2\mathcal{K} = \mathbf{F'}_\mu\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{-\chi_t^*\chi_b^*}(x)$, with $\mathcal{K}$ the complex conjugate operator; $\mathcal{L}_{SV}$ is also invariant under the $\ll_3$ transformation defined as $\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{\chi_t\chi_b}(x)\to\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{\chi_t\chi_b}(x)\tau_3$, together with the combination $\mathcal{C}\tau_3$.

Further extension can be made for the scalars in the spin basis by attaching the $\tilde{\gamma}_5$ operator, which leads to four doublet components that emerge naturally in the 7+1 spin basis, with the Higgs potential not altered under different definitions (chiral ones or not.) Thus Table 2 presents two of these scalar elements (with two additional as their conjugates), with coordinate dependence

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\phi}_1(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\eta_1(x)+i\eta_2(x)\right]\phi_1^+ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\eta_3(x)+i\eta_4(x)\right]\phi_1^0\\ \boldsymbol{\phi}_2(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\eta_1(x)+i\eta_2(x)\right]\phi_2^+ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\eta_3(x)+i\eta_4(x)\right]\phi_2^0,\end{aligned}\qquad(5)$$

and whose quantum numbers associate them to the Higgs doublet. These are unique within the (7+1)-d space[14]. Although new scalar fields are introduced in principle, here we concentrate on the SM-equivalent projections. Given the SM Higgs conjugate representation $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}(x)$ the scalar components are interpreted through the assignments

0 baryon-number scalar	I_3	Y	Q	$\frac{3i}{2}B\gamma^1\gamma^2$
$\begin{pmatrix}\phi_1^+\\ \phi_1^0\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{8}(1-i\gamma_5\gamma_6)(\gamma^7+i\gamma^8)\gamma^0\\ \frac{1}{8}(1-i\gamma_5\gamma_6)(1+i\gamma_7\gamma_8\tilde{\gamma}_5)\gamma^0\end{pmatrix}$	$1/2$ $-1/2$	1	1 0	0
$\begin{pmatrix}\phi_2^+\\ \phi_2^0\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{8}(1-i\gamma_5\gamma_6)(\gamma^7+i\gamma^8)\tilde{\gamma}_5\gamma^0\\ \frac{i}{8}(1-i\gamma_5\gamma_6)(1+i\gamma_7\gamma_8\tilde{\gamma}_5)\gamma^7\gamma^8\gamma^0\end{pmatrix}$	$1/2$ $-1/2$	1	1 0	0

Table 2: Scalar Higgs-like pairs

(see Table 2),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) &\to \boldsymbol{\phi}_1(x) - \boldsymbol{\phi}_2(x)\\ \tilde{\mathbf{H}}^\dagger(x) &\to \boldsymbol{\phi}_1(x) + \boldsymbol{\phi}_2(x).\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

This leads to the equivalent expressions

$$\mathcal{L}_{SV} = \mathrm{tr}\{[\mathbf{F}''(x), \mathbf{H}_{af}(x)]^\dagger_\pm [\mathbf{F}''(x), \mathbf{H}_{af}(x)]_\pm\}_{\mathrm{sym}} \tag{7}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\{[\mathbf{F}''(x), \mathbf{H}_{af}(x) + 2[I^3, \mathbf{H}^\dagger_{af}(x)]]^\dagger_\pm [\mathbf{F}''(x), \mathbf{H}_{af}(x) + 2[I^3, \mathbf{H}^\dagger_{af}(x)]]_\pm\}_{\mathrm{sym}}, \tag{8}$$

where we introduced $\mathbf{H}_{af}(x) = a\boldsymbol{\phi}_1(x) + f\boldsymbol{\phi}_2(x)$, and $\mathbf{F}''(x) = [i\partial_\mu + gW^i_\mu(x)I^i + \frac{1}{2}g'B_\mu(x)Y_o]\gamma_0\gamma^\mu$; the subindex *sym* means only symmetric $\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu$ components are taken, to avoid the Pauli components; and the $\pm$ index means the commutator and the anti-commutator should be used for the temporal and spatial γ_μ components, respectively. I^3 adds the phase needed for $\mathbf{H}^\dagger_{af}(x)$ to $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$-transform as $\mathbf{H}_{af}(x)$. The equality for $\mathcal{L}_{SV}$ implies that it accommodates SM parity-conserving representations in terms of chiral scalars. The complex parameters a, f, are constrained by the normalization rule $|a|^2+|f|^2= 1$. These properties for $\mathcal{L}_{SV}$ are shown explicitly in Supplement 2.

The spin representation can be connected with that of $\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{\chi_t\chi_b}(x)$ with the expression $\mathbf{H}_{af}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\chi_t\mathbf{H}_t(x) + \chi_b\mathbf{H}_b(x))$, where $\mathbf{H}_t(x) = \boldsymbol{\phi}_1(x) + \boldsymbol{\phi}_2(x)$, $\mathbf{H}_b(x) = \boldsymbol{\phi}_1(x) - \boldsymbol{\phi}_2(x)$, with $\boldsymbol{\phi}_i$ defined in Eq. S26, and this parameterization applies the *unitary* transformation $\chi_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a+f)$, $\chi_b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a-f)$.

Under the Higgs mechanism, the SM scalars acquire[7, 8] a vacuum expectation value v, and only the neutral field $\eta_3(x)$ survives: $\langle\eta_3(x)\rangle = v$, $\langle\mathbf{H}(x)\rangle = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}$, while the charged and imaginary components are absorbed into vector bosons, as seen explicitly in the unitary gauge. Idem in the spin basis, as can be proved by the Lagrangian equivalence or directly; then,

$$\langle\mathbf{H}_{af}(x)\rangle = H_n = \frac{v}{2}(\chi_t H^0_t + \chi_b H^0_b), \tag{9}$$

where the normalized Higgs operator H_n is defined, with the same $0,+$ component conventions as for the $\boldsymbol{\phi}_i$, implying, as $\mathrm{tr}H^{0\dagger}{}_i H^0{}_j = 2\delta_{ij}$, $i,j = t,b$,

$$\langle \mathbf{H}^\dagger_{af}(x)\mathbf{H}_{af}(x)\rangle = (|a|^2+|f|^2)v^2/2 = (|\chi_t|^2+|\chi_b|^2)v^2/2 = v^2/2. \tag{10}$$

The vector-Higgs vertex in $\mathcal{L}_{SV}$ determines the vector-boson masses, and within the spin basis, the trace is taken consistently with H_n. Thus, the mass component $\mathcal{L}_{SVm} = \mathrm{tr}([H_n, gW_0^m(x)I^m + \frac{g'}{2}Y_oB_0(x)]^\dagger[H_n, gW_0^m(x)I^m + \frac{g'}{2}Y_oB_0(x)] + \{H_n, (gW_i^k(x)I^k + \frac{g'}{2}Y_oB_i(x))\gamma_0\gamma^i\}^\dagger\{H_n, (gW_j^l(x)I^l + \frac{g'}{2}Y_oB_j(x))\gamma_0\gamma^j\})$ is produced. For the neutral massive vector boson, one derives the normalized $Z_\mu(x) = (-gW_\mu^3(x) + g'B_\mu(x))/\sqrt{g^2+g'^2}$, and massless photon $A_\mu(x) = (g'W_\mu^3(x) + gB_\mu(x))/\sqrt{g^2+g'^2}$, giving, e. g., the 0-component

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{SZm0} &= \mathrm{tr}\left[H_n, W_0^3(x)gI^3 + B_0(x)\frac{1}{2}g'Y_o\right]^\dagger\left[H_n, W_0^3(x)gI^3 + B_0(x)\frac{1}{2}g'Y_o\right] \qquad (11)\\
&= Z_0^2(x)\frac{1}{g^2+g'^2}\mathrm{tr}\left[H_n, g^2I^3 - \frac{1}{2}g'^2Y_o\right]^\dagger\left[H_n, g^2I^3 - \frac{1}{2}g'^2Y_o\right] = \frac{1}{2}Z_0^2(x)m_Z^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $m_Z = v\sqrt{g^2+g'^2}/2$, $m_A = 0$. Similarly, for $\mathcal{L}_{SWm}$, the $W^i_{o\mu}$ basis in Eq. 4 emerges, and defines the masses of the charged boson fields $W^\pm_\mu(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(W^1_\mu(x) \mp iW^2_\mu(x))$. Thus, the charged-vector boson component $\mathcal{L}_{SWm0} = W_0^i(x)W_0^j(x)\mathrm{tr}[H_n, W^i_{o0}]^\dagger [H_n, W^j_{o0}] = m_W^2W_0^+(x)W_0^-(x)$, $i,j = 1,2$ contains $m_W^2 = \mathrm{tr}[H_n, W^+_{o0}]^\dagger[H_n, W^+_{o0}] = v^2g^2/4$, with $W^\pm_{o\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}g\gamma_0\gamma_\mu I^\pm$, $I^\pm = I^1 \pm iI^2$. This assignment is unique as this is the only way to maintain not only the vertex condition (gauge invariance,) but also normalization (above.) When written in terms of $H = H_n + H_n^\dagger$, interpreted as a fermion Hamiltonian, $m_W^2 = \mathrm{tr}[H, W^+_{o0}]^\dagger[H, W^+_{o0}]$ and the other part is not affected, as $[H_n^\dagger, W^+_{o\mu}] = 0$,

4 Scalar-fermion Lagrangian: heavy-quark doublet's mass constraint

The Yukawa fermion-scalar interaction can be similarly parameterized in the Clifford basis

$$-\mathcal{L}_{SF} = \mathrm{tr}\frac{\sqrt{2}}{v}[m_t\Psi^\dagger_{tR}(x)\mathbf{H}^\dagger_t(x)\mathbf{\Psi}_{qL}(x) + m_b\mathbf{\Psi}^\dagger_{qL}(x)\mathbf{H}^\dagger_b(x)\Psi_{bR}(x)] + \{hc\}. \tag{12}$$

where m_t and m_b are the top and bottom masses, respectively. We note that the Higgs scalar components have the correct chiral action over fermions: under the projection operators L_5, and $R_5 = \frac{1}{2}(1+\tilde{\gamma}_5)$, e. g., $R_5\mathbf{H}_t(x)L_5 = \mathbf{H}_t(x)$, $L_5\mathbf{H}_b(x)R_5 = \mathbf{H}_b(x)$, $L_5\mathbf{H}_t(x)R_5 = 0$, $R_5\mathbf{H}_b(x)L_5 = 0$. For Eq. 12, the underlying mass operator is $\mathbf{H}_m(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{v}(m_t\mathbf{H}_t(x) + m_b\mathbf{H}_b(x))$, giving, under the Higgs mechanism, $H_m = \langle\mathbf{H}_m(x)\rangle = m_tH_t + m_bH_b$. Examples of quark massive basis states are summarized on Table 3, for both u and d-type quarks, with their quantum numbers. Only one polarization and one flavor are shown, as a more thorough treatment of the fermion-flavor states are given elsewhere[14].

This results in, e. g.,

$$H^h_m T^1_M = m_t T^1_M, \quad H^h_m T^{c1}_M = -m_t T^{c1}_M,$$
$$H^h_m B^1_M = m_b B^1_M, \quad H^h_m B^{c1}_M = -m_b B^{c1}_M, \tag{13}$$

where $H^h_m = H_m + H^\dagger_m$, and t^{c1}_M, b^{c1}_M correspond to negative-energy solution states (and similarly for opposite spin components) and Eq. 13 justifies the m_t and m_b

massive quarks	H^h_m	Q	$\frac{3i}{2}B\gamma^1\gamma^2$
$T^1_M = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(T^1_L + T^1_R)$	m_t	2/3	1/2
$B^1_M = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(B^1_L - B^1_R)$	m_b	−1/3	1/2
$T^{c1}_M = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(T^1_L - T^1_R)$	$-m_t$	2/3	1/2
$B^{c1}_M = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(B^1_L + B^1_R)$	$-m_b$	−1/3	1/2

Table 3: Massive quark eigenstates of H^h_m

mass interpretation.

Under the assumption of a *single* mass-producingfield operator, we match a reparameterized H_n in Eq. 11 that gives the $Z_\mu(x)$ mass, to the fermion-mass term H_m, in Eq. 13, resulting in $\sqrt{2}H_n = H_m$; a multiplet structure is suggested. In other words, the operator identification derives from their mass eigenvalues, expressed schematically as $|\langle Z|\sqrt{2}H_n|Z\rangle|^2 = m^2_Z$ and $\langle t|H_m + H^\dagger_m|t\rangle = m_t$, and the proportionality constant is derived accordingly. In this association, the simple real-field $Z_\mu(x)$ nature justifies its use (similarly for each $W^i_\mu(x)$), as opposed to the complex $W^\pm_\mu(x)$. Thus, the vacuum expectation value reproduces the parameterization in Eq. 9, and identifies χ_t, χ_b as Yukawa parameters: $\chi_t = m_t/\frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}$, $\chi_b = m_b/\frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}$. The same argument can be made using the second scalar form in Eq. 8, with the appropiate redefinition of the Yukawa constants. This results in

$$-\mathcal{L}_{SF} = \mathrm{tr}\sqrt{2}[\Psi^\dagger_{tR}(x)\mathbf{H}^\dagger_{af}(x)\mathbf{\Psi}_{qL}(x) + \mathbf{\Psi}^\dagger_{qL}(x)\mathbf{H}_{af}\Psi_{bR}(x)] + \{hc\}. \tag{14}$$

Using Eq. 10, we obtain the relation for the t, b quark masses

$$(|a|^2+|f|^2)v^2/2 = |m_t|^2+|m_b|^2= v^2/2. \tag{15}$$

The commutator arrangement in Eq. 11 is used in the above comparison; as it is set on the demand of a normalized scalar, the argument strengthens on the use of the same Z operator acting on fermions in Eq. 3. The coefficient matching in $\mathcal{L}_{SF}$ derives from the underlying freedom of choice in $\mathcal{L}_{SV}$, and, in turn, from the underlying three-parameter τ_3-$\mathcal{C}$ symmetry that can be equally implemented in the spin basis. Looking at the matrix structure, the γ_0 operator within H_m makes it a rank-2 reducible-representation operator, as expressed in Eq. 9; indeed, H_m connects two fermion spin polarizations, but hits a single W state's components twice as H_m duplicates the scalar representations, requiring the $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ normalization factor. In yet another interpretation, this relation is obtained from the normalization restriction in the Yukawa term in Eq. 10, dividing out the energy scale set by the vacuum expectation. To the extent that these arguments rely on a common metric vector space, they are geometric.

Equation 15 assumes the parity-conserving condition, constraining the quark masses.[7] For maximal hierarchy[12], with a, f dependence on *one* comparable large scale $\mathrm{O}(a) \simeq \mathrm{O}(b)$, ($m_b \ll m_t$,) we get $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}v \simeq 173.95$, for $v = 246$ GeV, $m_b = 0$; alternatively, the quark-b mass input predicts the top quark-mass as $m_t = \sqrt{v^2/2 - m_b^2} \simeq$ 173.90 GeV, for[9] $m_b = 4$ GeV (while renormalization effects give[20] $m_b(m_t) \sim 2$ GeV.) These two calculations are consistent with the measured top pole mass[9] $\bar{m}_t = 173.21 \pm 0.51 \pm 0.71$ GeV, where systematic and statistic errors are quoted, respectively. Future precision improvements will test the limits of this tree-level calculation, with view of the bottom-quark influence.

5 Conclusions and Outlook

In summary, the formalism used places fields on a basis that simultaneously contains SM bosons and fermions. SV and SF terms are linked through the mass rendering of the scalar operator within them, and using independence of its components acting on different fermion-doublet elements, within the electroweak SV vertex, implicitly expected, but which we now expose. Supporting a SM prediction of a unique scalar, normalization input from the scalar-vector vertex, and the mass-parameter interpretation in the SF vertex relates v and m_t. The same relation can be argued by considering the scalar operator's matrix rank, or assuming normalized Yukawa components.

[7] We neglect t-b mixing as the CKM matrix is nearly diagonal[9], confirming this method can be applied here.

Thus, an operator acting on vectors and fermions links matrix elements, implying a common energy scale. The particles' simultaneous participation in mass generation through the Higgs mechanism and related SM vertices, with these coefficients, constitutes a valid description at this energy scale, already at classical level, suggesting fields belong in a multiplet, and supporting a common-origin unification assumption[12].

Naturalness is hinted at in the ϕ_1, ϕ_2 associated single scale, which produces a hierarchy effect[12]. Thus, while this symmetry-breaking effect applies for heavy-quark masses, it could be valid also horizontally between generations in accordance with the fermions' low masses.

The arguments provide a geometric approach to address problems as the electroweak-symmetry breaking origin. The formalism facilitates the fields' composite description, as boson degrees of freedom may be written in terms of two fermions'. Expansions in such fields may be useful, independently of whether compositeness is physical. Constraints may be derived for SM extensions as supersymmetry[21], composite models that require dynamical symmetry breaking[4] as technicolor[22] or, in an extension of such models, top and bottom quarks[23] that conform condensate-producing massive particles.

The fields' spin representation allows connecting the scalar operator in two vertices, and in general, highlights chiral components of particles and interactions that maintain their SM equivalence. Indeed, we showed two such valid chiral and non-chiral scalar bases for the SV Lagrangian. This freedom could be clarified in other vertices, as with a SM extension with additional scalar degrees of freedom, whereas in this paper, we considered only their SM projection.

References

[1] L. B. Landau, and E. M. Lifshitz, Quantum Mechanics, Pergamon, London, 1965.

[2] F. lachello and I. Talmi, Rev. Mod. Phys. 59 (1987) 339-361.

[3] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper and J. R. Schrieffer, Phys. Rev. 108 (1957) 1175-1204.

[4] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. 122 (1961) 345-358.

[5] M. Gell-Mann and Y. Ne'eman, The Eightfold Way, Benjamin, New York, 1964.

[6] S. L. Glashow, Nucl. Phys. 22 (1961) 579-588; S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19 (1967) 1264-1266; A. Salam, in Elementary Particle Theory, W. Svartholm (Ed.), Almquist and Wiskell, Stockholm, 1968, pp. 367-377.

[7] F. Englert and R. Brout, Phys. Rev. Lett. 13 (1964) 321-323.

[8] P. W. Higgs, Phys. Lett. 12 (1964) 132-133.

[9] K.A. Olive et al. (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C 38 (2014) 090001 1-1675.

[10] G. Aad et al., (ATLAS Collaboration) 2012f, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2012) 111803-19; T. Aaltonen et al. (CDF Collaboration) Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 (2012) 111803-8.

[11] J. Besprosvany, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 39 (2000) 2797-2836; J. Besprosvany, Nuc. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 101, 323-329 (2001); J. Besprosvany and R. Romero, in: AIP Conf. Proc. 1323, L. Bennet, P. O. Hess, J. M. Torres, K. B. Wolf (Eds.), American Institute of Physics, Melville, New York, 2010, pp. 16-27.

[12] J. Besprosvany and R. Romero, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 29 (2014) 1450144-17.

[13] J. Besprosvany, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 20 (2005) 77-93.

[14] R. Romero and J. Besprosvany, "Quark horizontal flavor symmetry and two-Higgs doublet in (7+1)-dimensional extended spin space", arXiv:1611.07446v1 [hep-ph].

[15] J. Besprosvany, Phys. Lett. B 578 (2004) 181-186;

[16] J. Besprosvany and R. Romero, Nucl. and Part. Phys. Proc., Volumes 267-269 (2015), 199-206.

[17] M. Weinstein, Phys. Rev. D 8 (1973) 2511-2524

[18] P. Sikivie et al., Nuc. Phys. B 173 (1980) 189-207.

[19] R. S. Chivukula, Lectures presented at 1997 Les Houches Summer School, hep-ph/9803219v2

[20] Z. Z. Xing, H. Zhang, S. Zhou, Phys. Rev. D 77, 113016-13 (2008); H. Fusaoka, Y. Koide, Phys. Rev. D 57 (1998) 3986-4001.

[21] J. Wess and J. Bagger, Supersymmetry and Supergravity, Princeton University Press, 1983.

[22] E. Farhi and L. Susskind, Phys. Rep. 77 (1981) 277-321.

[23] W. A. Bardeen, C. T. Hill, and M. Lindner, Phys. Rev. D 41 (1990) 1647-1660.

[24] J. Snygg : *Clifford algebra: a computational tool for physicists*, Oxford University Press, 1997.

Acknowledgements The authors acknowledge support from DGAPA-UNAM through project IN112916, and discussions with A. Ayala.

Supplemental Material: Heavy quarks within electroweak multiplet

Supplement 1: Fermion-vector $\mathcal{L}_{FV}$ fermion-scalar $-\mathcal{L}_{SF}$ Lagrangians

In this Supplement, we show the Lagrangians' equivalence in the conventional and spin bases by considering explicit expressions with accompanying wave functions (or fields). With hindsight, we use the same Lagrangian label in both bases.

First, we use an iterative procedure[24] to obtain a (7+1)-d γ^μ representation. Starting with the Pauli matrices σ^1, σ^2 and σ^3, we get the $(3+1)$-d representation

$$\begin{array}{ll} \alpha^0 = \sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^3 & \alpha^1 = -i\sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^3, \\ \alpha^2 = I_2 \otimes i\sigma^1 & \alpha^3 = I_2 \otimes i\sigma^2; \end{array} \tag{S1}$$

then, the $(5+1)$-d representation

$$\begin{array}{ll} \beta^0 = \alpha^0 \otimes \sigma^3 & \beta^1 = \alpha^3 \otimes \sigma^3, \\ \beta^2 = \alpha^2 \otimes \sigma^3 & \beta^3 = \alpha^1 \otimes \sigma^3, \\ \beta^5 = I_4 \otimes i\sigma^1 & \beta^6 = I_4 \otimes i\sigma^2, \end{array} \tag{S2}$$

and finally, the $(7+1)$-d representation

$$\begin{array}{ll} \gamma^0 = \beta^0 \otimes \sigma^3 & \gamma^1 = \beta^3 \otimes \sigma^3, \\ \gamma^2 = \beta^2 \otimes \sigma^3 & \gamma^3 = \beta^1 \otimes \sigma^3, \\ \gamma^5 = \beta^5 \otimes \sigma^3 & \gamma^6 = \beta^6 \otimes \sigma^3, \\ \gamma^7 = I_8 \otimes i\sigma^1 & \gamma^8 = I_8 \otimes i\sigma^2. \end{array} \tag{S3}$$

The commuting property of the Lorentz and scalar symmetry operators implies that they can be represented as a tensor product. To compare with the spin-space basis, we write the conventional-basis generators as tensor products, choosing the (7+1)-d space to represent them; thus the spin-1/2 and $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$ terms, expressed by the 4×4 Clifford basis, and Pauli matrices, respectively, generalize to, e.g., $\tau_3 \bigotimes 1_{s2\times2} \sim I^3$ and $1_{w2\times2} \bigotimes [\frac{i}{2} P_L \gamma_1 \gamma_2]_{2\times2} \sim \frac{i}{2} P_L \gamma_1 \gamma_2$, with τ_3 the 3-Pauli matrix, and corresponding spin and weak isospin unit operators $1_{s2\times2}$, $1_{w2\times2}$, respectively.

Similarly, states in the conventional basis can be obtained that are represented in (7+1)-d space. For example, a left-handed (L), spin-1/2 polarization (1), top

(T), state $|L1T\rangle$ satisfies $\frac{1}{2}(1-\tilde{\gamma}_5)|L1T\rangle = -|L1T\rangle$, $\quad i\frac{1}{2}P_L\gamma_1\gamma_2|L1T\rangle = \frac{1}{2}|L1T\rangle$, $I^3|L1T\rangle = \frac{1}{2}|L1T\rangle$.

Eq. 1 in the paper implies spinors are labeled by the 4×4 spin operator in the Dirac representation $\frac{i}{2}\gamma_1\gamma_2$, and the weak SU(L)$_L$ τ_3 component. While most of the results in the paper are representation-independent, a unitary transformation may be applied to the (7+1)-d matrices to show the conventional-basis description used in Eq. 1 in the paper. Indeed, $\frac{i}{2}P_L\gamma_1\gamma_2$ has a Dirac form with the unitary transformation $\gamma^\mu_D = U^\dagger_D\gamma^\mu U_D$ with $U_D = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-\gamma^1\gamma^3)\gamma^0\gamma^2\gamma^3$ (actually, it exchanges γ^1 and γ^3.) and $|L1T\rangle$ is represented, after the unitary transformation $U^\dagger_D$, by

$(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,i,0)$. Next, we write all the conventional-basis states in this basis, and their association to the spin-extended basis states, with corresponding quantum numbers (notation used in Ref. 14, and Ref. 12, respectively, written next):

$$(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,i,0) \leftrightarrow T^1{}_L, U^1{}_{L,1}, U^1{}_L \tag{S4}$$

$$(0,0,-i,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0) \leftrightarrow T^2{}_L, U^2{}_{L,1}, U^2{}_L \tag{S5}$$

$$(0,0,0,0,0,0,-i,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0) \leftrightarrow T^1{}_R, U^1{}_{R,1}, U^1{}_R \tag{S6}$$

$$(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,i,0,0,0,0) \leftrightarrow T^2{}_R, U^2{}_{R,1}, U^2{}_R \tag{S7}$$

$$(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,-i) \leftrightarrow B^1{}_L, D^1{}_{L,1}, D^1{}_L \tag{S8}$$

$$(0,0,0,i,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)) \leftrightarrow B^2{}_L, D^2{}_{L,1}, D^2{}_L \tag{S9}$$

$$(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,i,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0) \leftrightarrow B^1{}_R, D^1{}_{R,1}, D^1{}_R \tag{S10}$$

$$(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,i,0,0,0,0,0) \leftrightarrow B^2{}_R, D^2{}_{R,1}, D^2{}_R, \tag{S11}$$

where the spin-basis states are shown in Table I and *in extenso* in Tables III and IV of Ref. [14].

For the fermion wave functions $\psi^\alpha_{qh}(x)$, we use polar coordinates, where the conventional and spin terms contain, respectively, $\Psi^\alpha_{qh}(x)\exp\left[p^\alpha_{qh}(x)\right] \leftrightarrow \Psi^\alpha_{qh}(x)\exp\left[c^\alpha_{qh}(x)\right]$,

for quarks $q = t, b$, with spin components $\alpha = 1, 2$, and chirality $h = L, R$. The magnitude part can be shown to be the same for both cases, as can be derived by comparing, e. g., the mass term. The vectors $W^a_\mu(x)$, $B_\mu(x)$ are real fields.

The phases appear in each term in both bases. For example, for the conventional basis and for the two polarizations $t_L(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi^1_{tL}(x) \\ \psi^2_{tL}(x) \end{pmatrix}$ within the left-handed hypercharge $Y = 1/3$ $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$-doublet, we use the association $t_L(x) \to \Psi^1_{tL}(x) \exp\left[p^1_{tL}(x)\right] U_D$ $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ + $\Psi^2_{tL}(x) \exp\left[p^2_{tL}(x)\right] U_D$ $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -i \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, with U_D applied to transform back from the Dirac representation, and we used the terms in Eqs. (4) and (5) in this Supplement; for the spin basis, $\Psi_{tL}(x) = \Psi^1_{tL}(x) \exp\left[c^1_{tL}(x)\right] T^1_L + \Psi^2_{tL}(x) \exp\left[c^2_{tL}(x)\right] T^2_R$.

The Lagrangians' identity is shown, by checking that the same terms are repro-

duced in both bases, and finding independent constant phases that connect the two representations. In the following, we present the fermion-vector $\mathcal{L}_{FV}$ Lagrangian components: interactive (weak and hypercharge), kinetic; also the fermion-scalar (Yukawa) $\mathcal{L}_{SF}$ Lagrangian. The subtitle contains the two-basis Lagrangian expressions in a concise notation, and then one component is given in an expanded form; the equations that link the phases in the two representations are written as they derive from the terms.

Weak. $\bar{\mathbf{q}}_L(x)\frac{1}{2}g\tau^a W^a_\mu(x)\gamma^\mu \mathbf{q}_L(x) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{tr}\{\mathbf{\Psi}_{qL}(x)^\dagger g I^a W^a_\mu(x)\gamma^0\gamma^\mu \mathbf{\Psi}_{qL}(x)\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(W^3{}_3(x)-W^3{}_0(x)\right)\psi^1{}_{bL}(x)^2-2\left(\left(\cos\left(p^1{}_{bL}(x)-p^1{}_{tL}(x)\right)W^1{}_0(x)\right.\right.\right. \\
&-\cos\left(p^1{}_{bL}(x)-p^1{}_{tL}(x)\right)W^1{}_3(x)+\sin\left(p^1{}_{bL}(x)-p^1{}_{tL}(x)\right)\left(W^2{}_0(x)-W^2{}_3(x)\right)\Big)\psi^1{}_{tL}(x) \\
&+\left(\sin\left(p^1{}_{bL}(x)-p^2{}_{bL}(x)\right)W^3{}_2(x)\right. \\
&-\cos\left(p^1{}_{bL}(x)-p^2{}_{bL}(x)\right)W^3{}_1(x)\Big)\psi^2{}_{bL}(x) \\
&+\left(\sin\left(p^1{}_{bL}(x)-p^2{}_{tL}(x)\right)\left(-W^2{}_1(x)+W^1{}_2(x)+W^2{}_2(x)\right)\right. \\
&-\cos\left(p^1{}_{bL}(x)-p^2{}_{tL}(x)\right)W^1{}_1(x)\Big)\psi^2{}_{tL}(x)\Big)\psi^1{}_{bL}(x)+W^3{}_0(x)\psi^1{}_{tL}(x)^2 \\
&-W^3{}_3(x)\psi^1{}_{tL}(x)^2-\left(W^3{}_0(x)+W^3{}_3(x)\right)\psi^2{}_{bL}(x)^2+W^3{}_0(x)\psi^2{}_{tL}(x)^2 \\
&+W^3{}_3(x)\psi^2{}_{tL}(x)^2-2\cos\left(p^1{}_{tL}(x)-p^2{}_{tL}(x)\right)W^3{}_1(x)\psi^1{}_{tL}(x)\psi^2{}_{tL}(x) \\
&+2\sin\left(p^1{}_{tL}(x)-p^2{}_{tL}(x)\right)W^3{}_2(x)\psi^1{}_{tL}(x)\psi^2{}_{tL}(x) \\
&-2\psi^2{}_{bL}(x)\left(\left(\cos\left(p^2{}_{bL}(x)-p^2{}_{tL}(x)\right)W^1{}_0(x)+\cos\left(p^2{}_{bL}(x)-p^2{}_{tL}(x)\right)W^1{}_3(x)\right.\right. \\
&+\sin\left(p^2{}_{bL}(x)-p^2{}_{tL}(x)\right)\left(W^2{}_0(x)+W^2{}_3(x)\right)\Big)\psi^2{}_{tL}(x) \\
&-\Big(\cos\left(p^1{}_{tL}(x)-p^2{}_{bL}(x)\right)W^1{}_1(x)-\sin\left(p^1{}_{tL}(x)-p^2{}_{bL}(x)\right)\left(W^2{}_1(x)+W^1{}_2(x)+W^2{}_2(x)\right)\Big) \\
&\psi^1{}_{tL}(x)\Big)\Big)\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{S12}
$$

Comparing the corresponding expression in the spin basis, we derive the following phase relations (which retroactively provide such an expression).

$$c^1{}_{bL}(x) = p_{W2} + p^1{}_{bL}(x) + \frac{\pi}{2} \tag{S13}$$

$$c^1{}_{tL}(x) = p_{W2} + p^1{}_{tL}(x) + \pi \tag{S14}$$

$$c^2{}_{bL}(x) = p_{W3} + p^2{}_{bL}(x) + \frac{\pi}{2} \tag{S15}$$

$$c^2{}_{tL}(x) = p_{W4} + p^2{}_{tL}(x) + \pi \tag{S16}$$

$$c^2{}_{bR}(x) = p_{Z1} + p^2{}_{bR}(x) \tag{S17}$$

$$c^2{}_{tR}(x) = p_{Z2} + p^2{}_{tR}(x) \tag{S18}$$

$$c^1{}_{bR}(x) = p_{Z1} + p^1{}_{bR}(x) + \pi \tag{S19}$$

$$c^1{}_{tR}(x) = p_{Z2} + p^1{}_{tR}(x) + \pi, \tag{S20}$$

for arbitrary real constants p_{W1}, p_{W2}, p_{W3}, p_{W4}, p_{Z1}, p_{Z2}, requiring the identities $p_{W1} = p_{W3} = p_{W4} = p_{W2}$.

Hypercharge. $\bar{\mathbf{q}}_L(x)\frac{1}{6}g'B_\mu(x)\gamma^\mu\mathbf{q}_L(x) + \bar{t}_R(x)[\frac{2}{3}g'B_\mu(x)]\gamma^\mu t_R(x)$
$+ \bar{b}_R(x)[-\frac{1}{3}g'B_\mu(x)]\gamma^\mu b_R(x) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{tr}\{\mathbf{\Psi}_{qL}(x)^\dagger\frac{1}{2}g'Y_oB_\mu(x)\gamma^0\gamma^\mu\mathbf{\Psi}_{qL}(x)$
$+ \Psi^\dagger_{tR}(x)\frac{1}{2}g'Y_oB_\mu(x)\gamma^0\gamma^\mu\Psi_{tR}(x) + \Psi^\dagger_{bR}(x)\frac{1}{2}g'Y_oB_\mu(x)\gamma^0\gamma^\mu\Psi_{bR}(x)\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{24}\left(\left(Z_0(x) - Z_3(x)\right)\psi^1{}_{bL}(x)^2 - 2\left(\cos\left(p^1{}_{bL}(x) - p^2{}_{bL}(x)\right)Z_1(x)\right.\right.\\
&\left.\left. - \sin\left(p^1{}_{bL}(x) - p^2{}_{bL}(x)\right)Z_2(x)\right)\psi^2{}_{bL}(x)\psi^1{}_{bL}(x)\right.\\
&- 2Z_0(x)\psi^1{}_{bR}(x)^2 - 2Z_3(x)\psi^1{}_{bR}(x)^2\\
&+ Z_0(x)\psi^1{}_{tL}(x)^2 - Z_3(x)\psi^1{}_{tL}(x)^2 + 4Z_0(x)\psi^1{}_{tR}(x)^2\\
&+ 4Z_3(x)\psi^1{}_{tR}(x)^2 + \left(Z_0(x) + Z_3(x)\right)\psi^2{}_{bL}(x)^2\\
&- 2Z_0(x)\psi^2{}_{bR}(x)^2 + 2Z_3(x)\psi^2{}_{bR}(x)^2 + Z_0(x)\psi^2{}_{tL}(x)^2\\
&+ Z_3(x)\psi^2{}_{tL}(x)^2 + 4Z_0(x)\psi^2{}_{tR}(x)^2 - 4Z_3(x)\psi^2{}_{tR}(x)^2\\
&+ 4\cos\left(p^1{}_{bR}(x) - p^2{}_{bR}(x)\right)Z_1(x)\psi^1{}_{bR}(x)\psi^2{}_{bR}(x)\\
&- 4\sin\left(p^1{}_{bR}(x) - p^2{}_{bR}(x)\right)Z_2(x)\psi^1{}_{bR}(x)\psi^2{}_{bR}(x)\\
&- 2\cos\left(p^1{}_{tL}(x) - p^2{}_{tL}(x)\right)Z_1(x)\psi^1{}_{tL}(x)\psi^2{}_{tL}(x)\\
&+ 2\sin\left(p^1{}_{tL}(x) - p^2{}_{tL}(x)\right)Z_2(x)\psi^1{}_{tL}(x)\psi^2{}_{tL}(x)\\
&- 8\cos\left(p^1{}_{tR}(x) - p^2{}_{tR}(x)\right)Z_1(x)\psi^1{}_{tR}(x)\psi^2{}_{tR}(x)\\
&+ 8\sin\left(p^1{}_{tR}(x) - p^2{}_{tR}(x)\right)Z_2(x)\psi^1{}_{tR}(x)\psi^2{}_{tR}(x)\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{S21}$$

Kinetic. $\bar{\mathbf{q}}_L(x) i\frac{1}{2} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial_\mu} \gamma^\mu \mathbf{q}_L(x) + \bar{t}_R(x) i\frac{1}{2} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial_\mu} \gamma^\mu t_R(x) + \bar{b}_R(x) i\frac{1}{2} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial_\mu} \gamma^\mu b_R(x) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{tr}\frac{1}{2}\{\mathbf{\Psi}^\dagger_{qL}(x) i\partial_\mu \gamma^0\gamma^\mu \mathbf{\Psi}_{qL}(x) + \Psi^\dagger_{tR}(x) i \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial_\mu} \gamma^0\gamma^\mu \Psi_{tR}(x) + \Psi^\dagger_{bR}(x) i \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial_\mu} \gamma^0\gamma^\mu \Psi_{bR}(x)\}$

Using the fields' integrability property (belonging to Hilbert space), integration by parts has been applied to make the derivative substitution $i\partial_\mu \to i\frac{1}{2} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial_\mu}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\left(-{\psi^2}_{bR}(x)^2 \left(\partial_z {p^2}_{bR}(x)\right) - {\psi^2}_{tL}(x)^2 \left(\partial_z {p^2}_{tL}(x)\right)\right.\\
&+ \cos\left[{p^1}_{bR}(x) - {p^2}_{bR}(x)\right] {\psi^2}_{bR}(x) \left(\partial_y {\psi^1}_{bR}(x)\right)\\
&+ \cos\left[{p^1}_{tL}(x) - {p^2}_{tL}(x)\right] {\psi^2}_{tL}(x) \left(\partial_y {\psi^1}_{tL}(x)\right)\\
&+ \sin\left[{p^1}_{bR}(x) - {p^2}_{bR}(x)\right] {\psi^2}_{bR}(x) \left(\partial_x {\psi^1}_{bR}(x)\right)\\
&+ \sin\left[{p^1}_{tL}(x) - {p^2}_{tL}(x)\right] {\psi^2}_{tL}(x) \left(\partial_x {\psi^1}_{tL}(x)\right)\\
&- {\psi^1}_{bR}(x) \left(\cos\left[{p^1}_{bR}(x) - {p^2}_{bR}(x)\right] \left(\partial_y {\psi^2}_{bR}(x)\right)\right.\\
&+ {\psi^2}_{bR}(x) \left(\sin\left[{p^1}_{bR}(x) - {p^2}_{bR}(x)\right] \left(\partial_y {p^1}_{bR}(x)\right) + \sin\left[{p^1}_{bR}(x) - {p^2}_{bR}(x)\right] \left(\partial_y {p^2}_{bR}(x)\right)\right.\\
&- \cos\left[{p^1}_{bR}(x) - {p^2}_{bR}(x)\right] \left(\partial_x {p^1}_{bR}(x) + \partial_x {p^2}_{bR}(x)\right)\Big)\\
&+ \sin\left[{p^1}_{bR}(x) - {p^2}_{bR}(x)\right] \left(\partial_x {\psi^2}_{bR}(x)\right)\Big) - {\psi^1}_{tL}(x) \left(\cos\left[{p^1}_{tL}(x) - {p^2}_{tL}(x)\right] \left(\partial_y {\psi^2}_{tL}(x)\right)\right.\\
&+ {\psi^2}_{tL}(x) \left(\sin\left[{p^1}_{tL}(x) - {p^2}_{tL}(x)\right] \left(\partial_y {p^1}_{tL}(x)\right) + \sin\left[{p^1}_{tL}(x) - {p^2}_{tL}(x)\right] \left(\partial_y {p^2}_{tL}(x)\right)\right.\\
&- \cos\left[{p^1}_{tL}(x) - {p^2}_{tL}(x)\right] \left(\partial_x {p^1}_{tL}(x) + \partial_x {p^2}_{tL}(x)\right)\Big) + \sin\left[{p^1}_{tL}(x) - {p^2}_{tL}(x)\right] \left(\partial_x {\psi^2}_{tL}(x)\right)\Big)\\
&+ {\psi^1}_{bR}(x)^2 \left(\partial_z {p^1}_{bR}(x) - \partial_t {p^1}_{bR}(x)\right) + {\psi^1}_{tL}(x)^2 \left(\partial_z {p^1}_{tL}(x) - \partial_t {p^1}_{tL}(x)\right)\\
&\left.- {\psi^2}_{bR}(x)^2 \left(\partial_t {p^2}_{bR}(x)\right) - {\psi^2}_{tL}(x)^2 \left(\partial_t {p^2}_{tL}(x)\right)\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{S22}
$$

Yukawa. $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{v}[m_t \bar{t}_R(x) \mathbf{H}^\dagger(x) \mathbf{q}_L(x) + m_b \bar{\mathbf{q}}_L(x) \tilde{\mathbf{H}}^\dagger(x) b_R(x)] + \{hc\} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{tr}\frac{\sqrt{2}}{v}[m_t \Psi^\dagger_{tR}(x) \mathbf{H}^\dagger_t(x) \mathbf{\Psi}_{qL}(x) + m_b \mathbf{\Psi}^\dagger_{qL}(x) \mathbf{H}^\dagger_b(x) \Psi_{bR}(x)] + \{hc\}$,

The representation of scalars in the conventional and spin bases uses the association, e. g., $\mathbf{H}{\gamma^0}_{4\times4} \to \mathbf{H}_t$; the conventional phases, written explicitly in Supplement 2, are set to fit the spin basis, as both operators act equally on fermions, and we applied the gamma-matrix representation freedom of choice.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\cos\left(p_{W2}-p_{Z1}-p_{\eta 1}(x)-{p^1}_{bR}(x)\right.\\
&\left.+{p^1}_{tL}(x)\right)\,m_b{\eta^r}_1(x){\psi^1}_{bR}(x){\psi^1}_{tL}(x)+\cos\left(p_{W2}-p_{Z2}-p_{\eta 0}(x)\right.\\
&\left.+{p^1}_{tL}(x)-{p^1}_{tR}(x)\right)\,m_t{\eta^r}_0(x){\psi^1}_{tR}(x){\psi^1}_{tL}(x)+{\psi^1}_{bL}(x)\left(\sin\left(p_{W2}\right.\right.\\
&\left.\left.-p_{Z2}+p_{\eta 1}(x)+{p^1}_{bL}(x)-{p^1}_{tR}(x)\right)\,m_t{\eta^r}_1(x){\psi^1}_{tR}(x)\right.\\
&\left.-\sin\left(p_{W2}-p_{Z1}+p_{\eta 0}(x)+{p^1}_{bL}(x)-{p^1}_{bR}(x)\right)\,m_b{\eta^r}_0(x){\psi^1}_{bR}(x)\right)\\
&-\cos\left(p_{W2}-p_{Z1}-p_{\eta 1}(x)-{p^2}_{bR}(x)\right.\\
&\left.+{p^2}_{tL}(x)\right)\,m_b{\eta^r}_1(x){\psi^2}_{bR}(x){\psi^2}_{tL}(x)-\cos\left(p_{W2}-p_{Z2}-p_{\eta 0}(x)\right.\\
&\left.+{p^2}_{tL}(x)-{p^2}_{tR}(x)\right)\,m_t{\eta^r}_0(x){\psi^2}_{tL}(x){\psi^2}_{tR}(x)+{\psi^2}_{bL}(x)\left(\sin\left(p_{W2}\right.\right.\\
&\left.\left.-p_{Z1}+p_{\eta 0}(x)+{p^2}_{bL}(x)-{p^2}_{bR}(x)\right)\,m_b{\eta^r}_0(x){\psi^2}_{bR}(x)\right.\\
&\left.-\sin\left(p_{W2}-p_{Z2}+p_{\eta 1}(x)+{p^2}_{bL}(x)-{p^2}_{tR}(x)\right)\,m_t{\eta^r}_1(x){\psi^2}_{tR}(x)\right)\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{S23}
$$

requiring the identities $p_{Z1}-\pi=p_{Z2}-\frac{\pi}{2}=p_{W1}$.

Supplement 2: Scalar-vector Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{SV}$; conjugate-Higgs invariance

For the scalar components, we also use expressions in polar coordinates, and in which the phase is written explicitly, to see its workings. Thus, for the conventional basis,

$$
\mathbf{H}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}\eta_1^r(x)e^{ip_{t1}+ip_{\eta 1}(x)}\\ \eta_0^r(x)e^{ip_{t0}+ip_{\eta 0}(x)}\end{pmatrix}
\tag{S24}
$$

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{H}}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}-i\eta_1^r(x)e^{ip_{b1}-ip_{\eta 0}(x)}\\ i\eta_0^r(x)e^{ip_{b0}-ip_{\eta 1}(x)}\end{pmatrix},
\tag{S25}
$$

where $p_{b1},p_{t1},p_{b0},p_{t0}$ charged and neutral phases, respectively, and $\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{\chi_t,\chi_b}(x)=(\chi_t\mathbf{H}(x),\chi_b\tilde{\mathbf{H}}(x))$ is a 4×4 matrix, χ_t, χ_b, can be assumed real and their dependence in all terms is through the factor $\chi_t^2+\chi_b^2$, so their explicit form constitutes a likewise demonstration for $\mathcal{L}_{SV}$.

For the spin basis, we use a generalized expression for the scalar term with conjugated terms weighted by a multiplicative parameter λ, to keep track of terms, and

with a normalization that makes $\mathcal{L}_{SV}$ λ-independent:

$$\mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1+\lambda^2}}\Big[\chi_t\Big[\eta^r_1(x)e^{i\phi^t_1+ip_{\eta 1}(x)}(\phi^+_1+\phi^+_2)+\eta^r_0(x)e^{i\phi^t_0+ip_{\eta 0}(x)}(\phi^0_1+\phi^0_2)\Big] + \chi_b\Big[\eta^r_1(x)e^{i\phi^b_1-ip_{\eta 1}(x)}(\phi^+_1-\phi^+_2)^\dagger+\eta^r_0(x)e^{i\phi^b_0-ip_{\eta 0}(x)}(\phi^0_1-\phi^0_2)^\dagger+\Big] + \lambda\chi_t\Big[\eta^r_1(x)e^{i\phi^{\lambda t}_1-ip_{\eta 1}(x)}(\phi^+_1+\phi^+_2)^\dagger+\eta^r_0(x)e^{i\phi^{\lambda t}_0-ip_{\eta 0}(x)}(\phi^0_1+\phi^0_2)^\dagger\Big] + \lambda\chi_b\Big[\eta^r_1(x)e^{i\phi^{\lambda b}_1+ip_{\eta 1}(x)}(\phi^+_1-\phi^+_2)\eta^r_0(x)e^{i\phi^{\lambda b}_0+ip_{\eta 0}(x)}(\phi^0_1-\phi^0_2)\Big]\Big], \tag{S26}$$

where $\phi^+_{1,2}$, $\phi^0_{1,2}$ are defined in Table 2, and $\phi^t_1, \phi^b_1, \phi^t_0, \phi^b_0$ are charged and neutral phases, respectively, and those with λ correspond to the hermitian-conjugate function (see Eqs. (7), (8) in the paper.) Given the chiral nature of the scalar components, they do not mix with their hermitian-conjugate components.

Thus, $\mathcal{L}_{SV} = \mathbf{H}^\dagger(x)\mathbf{F}^\dagger(x)\mathbf{F}(x)\mathbf{H}(x)$, with $\mathbf{F}_\mu(x) = i\partial_\mu + \frac{1}{2}g\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\mathbf{W}_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2}g'B_\mu(x)$, $\mathbf{W}_\mu(x) = (W^1_\mu(x), W^2_\mu(x), W^3_\mu(x))$ is compared with

$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\{[\mathbf{F}''(x), \mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)]^\dagger_\pm[\mathbf{F}''(x), \mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)]_\pm\}_{\mathrm{sym}}$, where $\mathbf{F}''(x) = [i\partial_\mu + gW^i_\mu(x)I^i + \frac{1}{2}g'B_\mu(x)Y_o]\gamma_0\gamma^\mu$; the subindex *sym* means only symmetric $\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu$ components are taken.

Square W. $\mathrm{tr}\bar{\mathbf{H}}^\dagger(x)\frac{1}{2}g\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\mathbf{W}_\mu(x)\frac{1}{2}g\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\mathbf{W}^\mu(x)\bar{\mathbf{H}}(x) \leftrightarrow$
$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\{[gW^n_0(x)I^n\gamma_0\gamma^0, \mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)]^\dagger[gW^m_0(x)I^m\gamma_0\gamma^0, \mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)] +$
$\{gW^n_j(x)I^n\gamma_0\gamma^j, \mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)\}^\dagger\{gW^m_k(x)I^m\gamma_0\gamma^k, \mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)\}\}$

$$\frac{1}{8}g^2\left(\chi^2_t+\chi^2_b\right)\left(\eta^r_0(x)^2+\eta^r_1(x)^2\right)W^n_\mu(x)W^{n\mu}(x) \tag{S27}$$

Square Z. $\mathrm{tr}\bar{\mathbf{H}}^\dagger(x)\frac{1}{2}g'B_\mu(x)\frac{1}{2}g'B^\mu(x)\bar{\mathbf{H}}(x) \leftrightarrow$
$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\{[\frac{1}{2}g'B_0(x)Y_o\gamma_0\gamma^0, \mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)]^\dagger[\frac{1}{2}g'B_0(x)Y_o\gamma_0\gamma^0, \mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)] +$
$\{\frac{1}{2}g'B_j(x)Y_o\gamma_0\gamma^j, \mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)\}^\dagger\{\frac{1}{2}g'B_k(x)Y_o\gamma_0\gamma^k, \mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)\}\}$

$$\frac{1}{8}g'^2\left(\chi^2_t+\chi^2_b\right)\left(\eta^r_0(x)^2+\eta^r_1(x)^2\right)B_\mu(x)B^\mu(x) \tag{S28}$$

Cross Z-W. $\mathrm{tr}\{\bar{\mathbf{H}}^\dagger(x)\frac{1}{2}g\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\mathbf{W}_\mu(x)\frac{1}{2}g'B^\mu(x)\bar{\mathbf{H}}(x) +$
$\bar{\mathbf{H}}^\dagger(x)\frac{1}{2}g'B^\mu(x)\frac{1}{2}g\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\mathbf{W}_\mu(x)\bar{\mathbf{H}}(x)\} \leftrightarrow$
$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\{[\frac{1}{2}g'B_0(x)Y_o\gamma_0\gamma^0,\mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)]^\dagger[gW^n_0(x)I^n\gamma_0\gamma^0,\mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)]$
$+[gW^n_0(x)I^n\gamma_0\gamma^0,\mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)]^\dagger[\frac{1}{2}g'B_0(x)Y_o\gamma_0\gamma^0,\mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)]$
$+\{\frac{1}{2}g'B_j(x)Y_o\gamma_0\gamma^j,\mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)\}^\dagger\{gW^n_k(x)I^n\gamma_0\gamma^k,\mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)\}$
$+\{gW^n_j(x)I^n\gamma_0\gamma^j,\mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)\}^\dagger\{\frac{1}{2}g'B_k(x)Y_o\gamma_0\gamma^k,\mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)\}\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4}gg'\left(\chi_t^2+\chi_b^2\right)\left(-Z_0(x){W^3}_0(x){\eta^r}_0(x)^2+Z_1(x){W^3}_1(x){\eta^r}_0(x)^2\right.\\
&+Z_2(x){W^3}_2(x){\eta^r}_0(x)^2+Z_3(x){W^3}_3(x){\eta^r}_0(x)^2\\
&+2\cos\left[{p^0}_t-{p^1}_b-p_{\eta0}(x)+p_{\eta1}(x)\right]Z_0(x){W^1}_0(x){\eta^r}_0(x){\eta^r}_1(x)\\
&-2\sin\left[{p^0}_t-{p^1}_b-p_{\eta0}(x)+p_{\eta1}(x)\right]Z_0(x){W^2}_0(x){\eta^r}_0(x){\eta^r}_1(x)\\
&-2\cos\left[{p^0}_t-{p^1}_b-p_{\eta0}(x)+p_{\eta1}(x)\right]Z_1(x){W^1}_1(x){\eta^r}_0(x){\eta^r}_1(x)\\
&+2\sin\left[{p^0}_t-{p^1}_b-p_{\eta0}(x)+p_{\eta1}(x)\right]Z_1(x){W^2}_1(x){\eta^r}_0(x){\eta^r}_1(x)\\
&-2\cos\left[{p^0}_t-{p^1}_b-p_{\eta0}(x)+p_{\eta1}(x)\right]Z_2(x){W^1}_2(x){\eta^r}_0(x){\eta^r}_1(x)\\
&+2\sin\left[{p^0}_t-{p^1}_b-p_{\eta0}(x)+p_{\eta1}(x)\right]Z_2(x){W^2}_2(x){\eta^r}_0(x){\eta^r}_1(x)\\
&-2\cos\left[{p^0}_t-{p^1}_b-p_{\eta0}(x)+p_{\eta1}(x)\right]Z_3(x){W^1}_3(x){\eta^r}_0(x){\eta^r}_1(x)\\
&+2\sin\left[{p^0}_t-{p^1}_b-p_{\eta0}(x)+p_{\eta1}(x)\right]Z_3(x){W^2}_3(x){\eta^r}_0(x){\eta^r}_1(x)\\
&+Z_0(x){W^3}_0(x){\eta^r}_1(x)^2-Z_1(x){W^3}_1(x){\eta^r}_1(x)^2\\
&\left.-Z_2(x){W^3}_2(x){\eta^r}_1(x)^2-Z_3(x){W^3}_3(x){\eta^r}_1(x)^2\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{S29}
$$

Cross W-derivative. $\mathrm{tr}\{\bar{\mathbf{H}}^\dagger(x)\frac{1}{2}g\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\mathbf{W}_\mu(x)i\partial^\mu\bar{\mathbf{H}}(x) -$
$\bar{\mathbf{H}}^\dagger(x)i\overleftarrow{\partial^\mu}\frac{1}{2}g\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\mathbf{W}_\mu(x)\bar{\mathbf{H}}(x)\} \leftrightarrow$
$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\{[-i\overleftarrow{\partial^0}\gamma_0\gamma^0,\mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)]^\dagger[gW^n_0(x)I^n\gamma_0\gamma^0,\mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)]$
$+[gW^n_0(x)I^n\gamma_0\gamma^0,\mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)]^\dagger[i\partial_0\gamma_0\gamma^0,\mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)] +$
$\{-i\overleftarrow{\partial_j}\gamma_0\gamma^j,\mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)\}^\dagger\{gW^n_k(x)I^n\gamma_0\gamma^k,\mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)\} +$
$\{gW^n_j(x)I^n\gamma_0\gamma^j,\mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)\}^\dagger\{i\partial_k\gamma_0\gamma^k,\mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)\}\}$

As for the kinetic term in $\mathcal{L}_{FV}$, the fields' integrability property leads to derivatives in the form $i\frac{1}{2}\overleftrightarrow{\partial_\mu}$; similarly for the cross Z-derivative and d'Alembert terms next.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}g\left(\chi_t^2+\chi_b^2\right)\left(\eta^r{}_1(x)^2\left(W^3{}_3(x)\left(\partial_z p_{\eta 1}(x)\right)+W^3{}_2(x)\left(\partial_y p_{\eta 1}(x)\right)\right.\right. \qquad \text{(S30)}\\
&\left.+W^3{}_1(x)\left(\partial_x p_{\eta 1}(x)\right)-W^3{}_0(x)\left(\partial_t p_{\eta 1}(x)\right)\right)\\
&-\eta^r{}_1(x)\left(W^2{}_3(x)\left(\sin\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]\eta^r{}_0(x)\left(\partial_z p_{\eta 0}(x)+\partial_z p_{\eta 1}(x)\right)\right.\right.\\
&\left.+\cos\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]\left(\partial_z\eta^r{}_0(x)\right)\right)\\
&+W^1{}_3(x)\left(-\cos\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]\eta^r{}_0(x)\left(\partial_z p_{\eta 0}(x)+\partial_z p_{\eta 1}(x)\right)\right.\\
&\left.+\sin\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]\left(\partial_z\eta^r{}_0(x)\right)\right)\\
&-\cos\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]W^1{}_2(x)\eta^r{}_0(x)\left(\partial_y p_{\eta 0}(x)\right)\\
&+\sin\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]W^2{}_2(x)\eta^r{}_0(x)\left(\partial_y p_{\eta 0}(x)\right)\\
&-\cos\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]W^1{}_2(x)\eta^r{}_0(x)\left(\partial_y p_{\eta 1}(x)\right)\\
&+\sin\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]W^2{}_2(x)\eta^r{}_0(x)\left(\partial_y p_{\eta 1}(x)\right)\\
&+\sin\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]W^1{}_2(x)\left(\partial_y\eta^r{}_0(x)\right)\\
&+\cos\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]W^2{}_2(x)\left(\partial_y\eta^r{}_0(x)\right)\\
&-\cos\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]W^1{}_1(x)\eta^r{}_0(x)\left(\partial_x p_{\eta 0}(x)\right)\\
&+\sin\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]W^2{}_1(x)\eta^r{}_0(x)\left(\partial_x p_{\eta 0}(x)\right)\\
&-\cos\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]W^1{}_1(x)\eta^r{}_0(x)\left(\partial_x p_{\eta 1}(x)\right)\\
&+\sin\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]W^2{}_1(x)\eta^r{}_0(x)\left(\partial_x p_{\eta 1}(x)\right)\\
&+\sin\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]W^1{}_1(x)\left(\partial_x\eta^r{}_0(x)\right)\\
&+\cos\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]W^2{}_1(x)\left(\partial_x\eta^r{}_0(x)\right)\\
&+\cos\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]W^1{}_0(x)\eta^r{}_0(x)\left(\partial_t p_{\eta 0}(x)\right)\\
&-\sin\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]W^2{}_0(x)\eta^r{}_0(x)\left(\partial_t p_{\eta 0}(x)\right)\\
&+\cos\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]W^1{}_0(x)\eta^r{}_0(x)\left(\partial_t p_{\eta 1}(x)\right)\\
&-\sin\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]W^2{}_0(x)\eta^r{}_0(x)\left(\partial_t p_{\eta 1}(x)\right)\\
&-\sin\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]W^1{}_0(x)\left(\partial_t\eta^r{}_0(x)\right)\\
&\left.-\cos\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]W^2{}_0(x)\left(\partial_t\eta^r{}_0(x)\right)\right)\\
&+\eta^r{}_0(x)\left(-W^3{}_3(x)\eta^r{}_0(x)\left(\partial_z p_{\eta 0}(x)\right)+\sin\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]W^1{}_3(x)\left(\partial_z\eta^r{}_1(x)\right)\right.\\
&+\cos\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]W^2{}_3(x)\left(\partial_z\eta^r{}_1(x)\right)-W^3{}_2(x)\eta^r{}_0(x)\left(\partial_y p_{\eta 0}(x)\right)\\
&+\sin\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]W^1{}_2(x)\left(\partial_y\eta^r{}_1(x)\right)\\
&+\cos\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]W^2{}_2(x)\left(\partial_y\eta^r{}_1(x)\right)-W^3{}_1(x)\eta^r{}_0(x)\left(\partial_x p_{\eta 0}(x)\right)\\
&+\sin\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]W^1{}_1(x)\left(\partial_x\eta^r{}_1(x)\right)\\
&+\cos\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]W^2{}_1(x)\left(\partial_x\eta^r{}_1(x)\right)+W^3{}_0(x)\eta^r{}_0(x)\left(\partial_t p_{\eta 0}(x)\right)\\
&-\sin\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]W^1{}_0(x)\left(\partial_t\eta^r{}_1(x)\right)\\
&\left.\left.-\cos\left[p^0{}_b-p^1{}_b-p_{\eta 0}(x)+p_{\eta 1}(x)\right]W^2{}_0(x)\left(\partial_t\eta^r{}_1(x)\right)\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

from which one derives the phase connections

$$\phi^1{}_{\lambda t} = p^0{}_t - p^1{}_t + \phi^0{}_{\lambda t} - \frac{\pi}{2} \tag{S31}$$

$$\phi^1{}_b \to p^0{}_t - p^1{}_t + \phi^0{}_b - \frac{\pi}{2} \tag{S32}$$

$$\phi^1{}_t = p^0{}_b - p^1{}_b + \phi^0{}_t + \frac{\pi}{2} \tag{S33}$$

$$\phi^1{}_{\lambda b} = p^0{}_b - p^1{}_b + \phi^0{}_{\lambda b} + \frac{\pi}{2}. \tag{S34}$$

Cross Z-derivative. $\mathrm{tr}\{\bar{\mathbf{H}}^\dagger(x)\frac{1}{2}g'B_\mu(x)i\partial^\mu\bar{\mathbf{H}}(x) - \bar{\mathbf{H}}^\dagger(x)i\overleftarrow{\partial^\mu}\frac{1}{2}g'B_\mu(x)\bar{\mathbf{H}}(x)\} \leftrightarrow$
$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\{[-i\overleftarrow{\partial^0}\gamma_0\gamma^0, \mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)]^\dagger[\frac{1}{2}g'B_0(x)Y_o\gamma_0\gamma^0, \mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)]$
$+ [\frac{1}{2}g'B_0(x)Y_o\gamma_0\gamma^0, \mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)]^\dagger[i\partial^0\gamma_0\gamma^0, \mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)] +$
$\{-i\overleftarrow{\partial_j}\gamma_0\gamma^j, \mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)\}^\dagger\{\frac{1}{2}g'B_k(x)Y_o\gamma_0\gamma^k, \mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)\} +$
$\{\frac{1}{2}g'B_j(x)Y_o\gamma_0\gamma^j, \mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)\}^\dagger\{i\partial_k\gamma_0\gamma^k, \mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)\}\}.$

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\left(\chi_b^2+\chi_t^2\right)g'\left(\left(Z_3(x)\left(\partial_z p_{\eta 0}(x)\right)+Z_2(x)\left(\partial_y p_{\eta 0}(x)\right)\right.\right.\\&\left.+Z_1(x)\left(\partial_x p_{\eta 0}(x)\right)-Z_0(x)\left(\partial_t p_{\eta 0}(x)\right)\right)\eta^r{}_0(x)^2+\left(Z_3(x)\left(\partial_z p_{\eta 1}(x)\right)\right.\\&\left.\left.+Z_2(x)\left(\partial_y p_{\eta 1}(x)\right)+Z_1(x)\left(\partial_x p_{\eta 1}(x)\right)-Z_0(x)\left(\partial_t p_{\eta 1}(x)\right)\right)\eta^r{}_1(x)^2\right).\end{aligned} \tag{S35}$$

In addition to the above equations, we derive

$$p^0{}_t = -p^0{}_b + p^1{}_b + p^1{}_t\,. \tag{S36}$$

As the similarity transformation phases in e.g. $\mathbf{H}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}$, this relation accounts for the sign change for complex conjugate components.

d'Alembert. $-\mathrm{tr}\bar{\mathbf{H}}^{\dagger}(x)\overleftarrow{\partial^{\mu}}\partial_{\mu}\bar{\mathbf{H}}(x) \leftrightarrow$
$-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\{[\overleftarrow{\partial_0}\gamma_0\gamma^0, \mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)]^{\dagger}[\partial_0\gamma_0\gamma^0, \mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)] -$
$\{\overleftarrow{\partial_j}\gamma_0\gamma^j, \mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)\}^{\dagger}\{\partial_k\gamma_0\gamma^k, \mathbf{H}^{tot\lambda}_{ab}(x)\}\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\left(\chi_b^2+\chi_t^2\right)\left(\left(\partial_z\eta_1^r(x)\right)^2+\eta^r{}_0(x)^2\left(\partial_z p_{\eta 0}(x)\right)^2+\eta_1^r(x)^2\left(\partial_z p_{\eta 1}(x)\right)^2\right.\\
&\quad+\left(\partial_z\eta^r{}_0(x)\right)^2+\left(\partial_y\eta_1^r(x)\right)^2+\eta^r{}_0(x)^2\left(\partial_y p_{\eta 0}(x)\right)^2\\
&\quad+\eta_1^r(x)^2\left(\partial_y p_{\eta 1}(x)\right)^2+\left(\partial_y\eta^r{}_0(x)\right)^2+\left(\partial_x\eta_1^r(x)\right)^2\\
&\quad+\eta^r{}_0(x)^2\left(\partial_x p_{\eta 0}(x)\right)^2+\eta_1^r(x)^2\left(\partial_x p_{\eta 1}(x)\right)^2+\left(\partial_x\eta^r{}_0(x)\right)^2-\left(\partial_t\eta_1^r(x)\right)^2\\
&\quad\left.-\eta^r{}_0(x)^2\left(\partial_t p_{\eta 0}(x)\right)^2-\eta_1^r(x)^2\left(\partial_t p_{\eta 1}(x)\right)^2-\left(\partial_t\eta^r{}_0(x)\right)^2\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{S37}$$

Each of the $\mathcal{L}_{SV}$ terms is indeed proportional to the combination $\chi_b^2+\chi_t^2$, which manifests the t-b symmetry of this component, as the phases that connect the two representations were obtained.

We thus completed the demonstration of the SM Lagrangian terms' equivalence in two bases; we conclude the spin-space representation reproduces the same properties of SM generators.